

**Homework #2 (Solutions)**  
STAT-UB.0003: Regression and Forecasting Models

1. MBS, Ex. 7.34.

**Solution:**

(a) The null and alternative hypotheses are

$$\begin{aligned}H_0 : \mu &= 85 \\H_a : \mu &\neq 85,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu$  is the true mean Mach rating score of all purchasing managers.

(b) We reject the null hypothesis if the absolute value of the test statistic is larger than  $z_{.050} = 1.645$ . (It is also acceptable to give  $t_{.050,121} \approx t_{.050,120} = 1.658$ .)

(c) The test statistic is

$$\begin{aligned}t &= \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} \\&= \frac{(99.6) - (85)}{(12.6)/\sqrt{(122)}} \\&= 12.80\end{aligned}$$

(d) Since  $|t| > 12.80$ , we reject the null hypothesis.

2. MBS, Ex. 7.55, parts (a)-(e).

**Solution:**

(a) The null and alternative hypotheses are  $H_0 : \mu = 2$  and  $H_a : \mu \neq 2$ , where  $\mu$  is the mean surface roughness of coated interior pipe.

(b) The test statistic (from the Minitab output) is  $t = -1.02$ .

(c) We reject  $H_0$  if  $|t| > t_{.025,19} = 2.093$ . You get full credit for this problem if you say  $|t| > z_{.025} = 1.96$  or  $|t| > 2$ .

(d) We do not reject  $H_0$ .

(e) The p-value is  $p = 0.322$ . If the true mean surface roughness were 2 microns, then there would be a 32.2% chance of seeing data at least as extreme as observed. In other words, the data is consistent with the null hypothesis (it would be very typical if  $H_0$  were true).

3. MBS, Ex. 11.7. Give one example where a probabilistic model is preferable, and one example where a deterministic model is preferable.

**Solution:**

Probabilistic models are generally preferable because they allow for approximate rather than exact linear relationships; they allow for deviations around the linear trend. One example where a deterministic relationship is more appropriate is a taxi fare:

$$(\text{total fare}) = (\text{flag drop fee}) + \beta_1(\text{number of miles driven})$$

One example where a probabilistic model is more appropriate is a model relating a movie's box office gross to its advertising budget:

$$(\text{box office gross}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{advertising budget}) + \varepsilon;$$

there will not be an exact relationship between these quantities, so we need a probabilistic model

(Many other examples of deterministic and probabilistic linear models are valid.)

4. MBS, Ex. 11.9.

**Solution:** No, it does not. The random error will cause a deviation above or below the regression line (the mean).

5. MBS, Ex. 11.10.

**Solution:**

(a) Here is the completed table:

	$x_i$	$y_i$	$x_i^2$	$x_i y_i$
	7	2	49	14
	4	4	16	16
	6	2	36	12
	2	5	4	10
	1	7	1	7
	1	7	1	6
	3	6	9	18
Totals	$\sum x_i = 24$	$\sum y_i = 31$	$\sum x_i^2 = 116$	$\sum x_i y_i = 80$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}SS_{xy} &= \sum x_i y_i - \frac{(\sum x_i)(\sum y_i)}{n} \\ &= (80) - \frac{(24)(31)}{7} \\ &= -26.28571.\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}SS_{xx} &= \sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n} \\ &= (116) - \frac{(24)^2}{7} \\ &= 33.71429\end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_1 &= \frac{SS_{xy}}{SS_{xx}} \\ &= \frac{(-26.28571)}{(33.71429)} \\ &= -0.77966\end{aligned}$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \\ &= \frac{(24)}{(7)} \\ &= 3.42857,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{y} &= \frac{\sum y_i}{n} \\ &= \frac{(31)}{(7)} \\ &= 4.42857\end{aligned}$$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_0 &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 - \bar{x} \\ &= (4.42857) - (-0.77966)(3.42857) \\ &= 7.10169\end{aligned}$$

(g) The least squares line is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y} &= \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x \\ &= 7.10169 - 0.77966 x\end{aligned}$$

6. MBS, Ex. 11.15. Here is the Minitab output from fitting the model described in the problem; use this output instead of the SPSS output given in the textbook:

Model Summary

S	R-sq	R-sq(adj)	R-sq(pred)
11.9119	92.06%	91.89%	91.44%

Coefficients

Term	Coef	SE Coef	T-Value	P-Value	VIF
Constant	-97.4	26.7	-3.65	0.001	
MATH2001	1.1882	0.0499	23.83	0.000	1.00

Regression Equation

MATH2011 = -97.4 + 1.1882 MATH2001

**Solution:**

(a)  $E(Y | x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ . (The book writes this as  $E(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ ).

You get full credit for a deterministic model ( $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ ), but you should understand why a probabilistic model is better.

(b)  $\hat{y} = -97.4 + 1.1882x$

(c) No practical interpretation; it doesn't make sense to have an SAT score of 0.

(d) For every additional point on a state's average 2001 Math SAT score, a state's expected average Math 2011 SAT score increases by 1.1882 points. The interpretation is valid for the range of the  $x$  values in the data (between 474 and 603; this information is not given in the problem, but can be gotten by looking at the raw data).